

**REMARKS**

**I. Status of claims**

Claim 10 was previously canceled. Claim 3 has been canceled in this response. Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 have been amended in this response. By entering this response, claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11 and 12 remain for consideration.

**II. Amendment of specification**

Applicants have amended the specification by adding the Cross References to this Application and other relevant headings. No new matter has been introduced by the amendment of the specification.

**III. Amendment of the claims**

Applicants have amended claim 1 by incorporating the limitations of original claim 3, which has been canceled therefore. Applicants also amended claim 1, 2, and 4-6 to make them more readable. No new matter has been introduced by the claim amendment.

**IV. Response to anticipation rejection**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by *Herzog* (US 6,265,502). The rejection no longer applies to claim 3, which has been canceled. Applicants believe that amended claim 1 and its dependent claims 2, 4-9, 11 and 12 are novel over *Herzog*. As indicated by claim 1, the process of the invention comprises two steps: a start-up step and a continued step. In the start-up step (a), a polyolefin having a melt flow rate greater than 4.5 g/10 min is made. In the continued step (b), the polymerization is continued by gradually decreasing the melt flow rate of the polyolefin to less than 4 g/10 min. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and allow remaining claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11 and 12. Applicants invite the Examiner to

telephone their attorney, Shao-Hua Guo, at (610) 359-2455 if a discussion of the application might be helpful.

Respectfully submitted,  
Alexander Koppl et al.

By: Shao-Hua Guo

Shao-Hua Guo  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 44,728  
LyondellBasell Industires  
Phone: (610) 359-2455  
December 23, 2008

**Customer No. 24114**